



### MANUSCRIPT EVALUATION

**Manuscript Entitle:**

**Manuscript Code:**

**Date Sent To Reviewer:**

**Date Expected From Reviewer:**

**Date Sent to Editor:**

**Academic Editor:**

**Priority for publication (select one):**

1. Key manuscript \_\_\_\_\_
2. Important manuscript (competent, new knowledge or insights) \_\_\_\_\_
3. Useful manuscript (competent but repeats existing knowledge) \_\_\_\_\_
4. Manuscript not recommended \_\_\_\_\_

Manuscript type (Select the most appropriate for the paper; use Y)

- Original paper \_\_\_\_\_
- Brief communication \_\_\_\_\_
- Review \_\_\_\_\_
- Methodological Advances \_\_\_\_\_

**I. Comments to the Editor** (they will not be disclosed to the authors):



**II. Specific assessment** (answer questions that are relevant to the type of manuscript; NA = does not apply. If considered necessary, please justify your answer in the comments section):

	YES	NO	NA
1. Does the manuscript not been published previously?			
2. Does the manuscript describe original work? (If not original, list references of previous publications)			
3. Does the manuscript present new knowledge in the field?			
4. Does the manuscript have methodological or theoretical interest?			
5. Is the title clear and precise (< 20 words)?			
6. Are the authors identified with their First name and Surname and address?			
7. Is the abstract descriptive of contents?			
8. Is the introduction clear? Does it provide the background of the work?			
9. Are the problem, question or hypothesis explicitly indicated?			
10. Are there enough details presented in the Materials and Methods section?			
11. Are the experiment in accordance with ethical standards codes?			
12. Are the variables measured with precision and using the latest techniques?			
13. Are adequate data (sample size) and statistical analysis provided?			
14. Are all of the sections, figures and tables in the paper necessary?			
15. Are the figures or tables of suitable clarity and quality?			
In the case of Tables:			
• Serially numbered in Arabic numerals?			
• Short self explanatory heading given?			
• Are the titles of the tables appropriate?			
• Columns have headings?			
• Units of data given?			
• 'n' mentioned?			
• Mean $\pm$ SD or Mean $\pm$ SEM given?			
• Statistical significance of groups indicated by asterisks or other markers?			
• P values given?			
• Rows and columns properly aligned?			
• Appropriate position in the text indicated?			



In the case of Figures:

	YES	NO	NA
• Serially numbered? Self explanatory caption given?			
• Are the titles of the figures appropriate?			
• X and Y axes graduated?			
• X and Y axes titled (legend)?			
• Units mentioned (if necessary)?			
• Different symbols/markers for different groups given?			
• SD or SEM represented (graphically)?			
• Statistical significance indicated?			
• Approximate position in the text marked?			
16. Are the titles and footnotes of the tables or figures appropriate?			
17. Are the author's conclusions supported by the data?			
18. Is the discussion pertinent to the main theme of the paper?			
19. Are the claims and interpretations valid?			
20. Is the stated purpose achieved throughout the paper?			
21. Do the authors propose new questions/hypothesis for further studies?			
22. Are references provided adequate and cited correctly?			
23. Are the references up to date?			
24. Could the paper be improved by shortening it?			
25. Are the organization, style and grammar satisfactory?			
26. Does the English/Spanish languages require full or partial revision?			
27. Can the manuscript be read by subscribers from different disciplines?			

**Please add any additional comments (Including comments/suggestions regarding online supplementary materials, if any):**

**A. Changes which are required to make the paper acceptable for publication:**

**B. Suggestions for improving the manuscripts:**

**C. Other comments:**



**III. Comments to the authors** (include your comments here or in the electronic version; you can follow the priority of comments you consider the authors should address or the sections order):

- **Major and minor comments:**

<b>General comment:</b>	
<b>Title:</b>	
<b>Authors and addresses:</b>	
<b>Abstract:</b>	
<b>Keywords:</b>	
<b>Introduction:</b>	
<b>Methodology:</b>	
<b>Results:</b>	
<b>Discussion:</b>	
<b>Conclusions:</b>	
<b>References:</b>	
<b>Tables:</b>	
<b>Figures:</b>	
<b>Others:</b>	



**IV. Overall assessment (cross as appropriate):**

	<u>Excellent</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>						
<b>Originality:</b>										
<b>Technical quality:</b>										
<b>Importance in its field:</b>										
<b>Style &amp; Overall representation:</b>										
<b>Readily Understandable</b>										
<b>Strong Focus on Targets</b>										
<b>Adequate Illustrations or Drawings</b>										
<b>Overall the paper is rated:</b> <b>(background color)</b>	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1

**REFEREE'S RECOMMENDATIONS**

**OTHER SPECIFIC CRITICISMS**

Accept as it is	<input type="checkbox"/>	Imperfect style	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accept with minor changes	<input type="checkbox"/>	Too long	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accept with revision as attached comments	<input type="checkbox"/>	References incorrectly presented	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>	Typographical and Grammatical errors	<input type="checkbox"/>

**I declare no conflict of interest with the authors or the revised manuscript.**

Name:

Signature:

Date:

Filiations: